

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/699,751	Applicant(s) HARFORD ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael M. McGaw	Art Unit 1648	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Michael M. McGaw.

(3) _____.

(2) William Majarian.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2004

Time: 10 A.M.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

15, 17 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

m.m.
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Language of claims 15, 17 and 19. The claims are directed to methods of inducing immunity. The Examiner requested that the claims indicate the etiologic agent to which immunity was sought. Mr. Majarian agreed to an Examiner's Amendment of the claims and submitted a draft of the proposed modifications/amendments by fax transomission on Jan. 05, 2004. The draft is attached.